

逆強単調写像に関する変分不等式問題を扱った Badriev と Zadvornov の結果の一考察

Note on Badriev and Zadvornov's results for variational inequality problems for inverse-strongly monotone mappings

豊田昌史

Masashi Toyoda

‡ 玉川大学工学部マネジメントサイエンス学科, 194-8610 東京都町田市玉川学園 6-1-1
Faculty of Engineering, Tamagawa University,
6-1-1 Tamagawa-gakuen, Machida-shi, Tokyo 194-8610

Abstract

In [3], Badriev and Zadvornov consider a variational inequality problem with two monotone mappings A and B . The authors show that an iterative sequence converges weakly to a solution of the problem under suitable conditions for A and B . In this paper, to apply a theorem in [6], we show an iterative sequence which converges strongly to a solution of the problem under same conditions for A and B in [3].

Keywords: Fixed point, variational inequality problem, inverse-strongly monotone mapping.

1 Introduction

Let V and H be Hilbert spaces with inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$, respectively. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $B : H \rightarrow H$ be mappings and $F : V \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ and $G : H \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be proper continuous lower semicontinuous functionals. Let $\Lambda : V \rightarrow H$ be a linear continuous mapping and $\Lambda^* : H \rightarrow V$ be the adjoint mapping of Λ , i.e., $\langle \Lambda^*x, v \rangle_V = \langle x, \Lambda v \rangle_H$ for all $x \in H$ and $v \in V$. In [3], Badriev and Zadvornov consider the following variational inequality problem. Find $u \in V$ such that

$$\langle Au, v - u \rangle_V + \langle \Lambda^*B\Lambda u, v - u \rangle_V + G(\Lambda v) - G(\Lambda u) + F(v) - F(u) \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

for all $v \in V$. The authors show that an iterative sequence converges weakly to a solution of the problem under suitable conditions for A and B .

In this paper, to apply a theorem in [6], we show an iterative sequence which converges strongly to a solution of the problem under

same conditions for A and B in [3]. The theorem in [6] is related to the result of our previous paper [1].

2 Preliminaries

Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ and $B : H \rightarrow H$ be mappings. Mappings $A : H \rightarrow H$ and $B : V \rightarrow V$ are inverse-strongly monotone mappings if there exists $\sigma_A, \sigma_B > 0$ such that

$$\langle Au - Av, u - v \rangle_V \geq \sigma_A \|Au - Av\|_V^2$$

for all $u, v \in V$ and

$$\langle Bx - By, x - y \rangle_H \geq \sigma_B \|Bx - By\|_H^2$$

for all $x, y \in H$. Then A is called σ_A -inverse-strongly monotone and B is called σ_B -inverse-strongly monotone. Let C be a subset of H . A mapping T of C into itself is called nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\|_H \leq \|x - y\|_H$$

for all $x, y \in C$. We denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of T . Let f be a functional

on H . By P_f , we denote the proximal mapping which takes each $x \in H$ to the element $y = P_f(x)$ that is a solution of

$$\langle y - x, z - y \rangle_H + f(z) - f(y) \geq 0$$

for all $z \in H$. P_f satisfies the following.

$$\|P_f x - P_f y\|_H^2 \leq \langle P_f x - P_f y, x - y \rangle_H \quad (2)$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

To introduce our main result, we need the following theorems. Theorem 1 is the result of Badriev and Zadornov in [3]. For the sake of completeness, we show the proof in Section 4.

Theorem 1. *Let V and H be Hilbert spaces. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ be a σ_A -inverse-strongly monotone mapping and $B : H \rightarrow H$ be a σ_B -inverse-strongly monotone mapping. Let $F : V \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ and $G : H \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be proper convex lower semicontinuous functionals. Let $\Lambda : V \rightarrow H$ be a linear continuous mapping and $\Lambda^* : H \rightarrow V$ be the adjoint mapping of Λ , i.e., $\langle \Lambda^* x, v \rangle_V = \langle x, \Lambda v \rangle_H$ for all $x \in H$ and $v \in V$. In addition, we assume that the operator $\Lambda^* \Lambda$ is a canonical isomorphism, i.e., $v = \Lambda^* \Lambda v$ for all $v \in V$. Let $Q = V \times H \times H$ be the Hilbert space with inner product*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q = \frac{1 - \tau_A r}{\tau_A} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V + \frac{1}{\tau_B} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H + \frac{1}{r} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H,$$

where τ_A, τ_B and r are positive constants satisfying $\tau_A r < 1$. Let $T : Q \rightarrow Q$ be a mapping defined by $Tq = (T_1 q, T_2 q, T_3 q)$, where

$$T_1 q = P_{\tau_A F}(q_1 - \tau_A(Aq_1 + \Lambda^* q_3 + r\Lambda^*(\Lambda q_1 - q_2))),$$

$$T_2 q = P_{\tau_B G}(q_2 - \tau_B(Bq_2 - q_3 + r(q_2 - \Lambda T_1 q))),$$

$$T_3 q = q_3 + r(\Lambda T_1 q - T_2 q)$$

for $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3) \in Q$. Let $q = (u, y, \lambda)$. Then q is a fixed point of T if and only if

$$\begin{cases} -Au - \Lambda^* \lambda \in \partial F(u), \\ \lambda - By \in \partial G(y), \\ y = \Lambda u. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, u is a solution of the problem (1).

Theorem 2 is the result of Badriev and Zadornov in [3]. For the sake of completeness, we show the proof in Section 4.

Theorem 2. *Let V and H be Hilbert spaces. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ be a σ_A -inverse-strongly monotone mapping and $B : H \rightarrow H$ be a σ_B -inverse-strongly monotone mapping. Let $F : V \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ and $G : H \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be proper convex lower semicontinuous functionals. Let $\Lambda : V \rightarrow H$ be a linear continuous mapping and $\Lambda^* : H \rightarrow V$ be the adjoint mapping of Λ , i.e., $\langle \Lambda^* x, v \rangle_V = \langle x, \Lambda v \rangle_H$ for all $x \in H$ and $v \in V$. In addition, we assume that the operator $\Lambda^* \Lambda$ is a canonical isomorphism, i.e., $v = \Lambda^* \Lambda v$ for all $v \in V$. Let $Q = V \times H \times H$ be the Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q = \frac{1 - \tau_A r}{\tau_A} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V + \frac{1}{\tau_B} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H + \frac{1}{r} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$, where τ_A, τ_B and r are positive constants satisfying $\tau_A r < 1$,*

$$\tau_A < \frac{2\sigma_A}{2\sigma_A r + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_B < \frac{2\sigma_B}{2\sigma_B r + 1}.$$

Let $T : Q \rightarrow Q$ be a mapping defined by $Tq = (T_1 q, T_2 q, T_3 q)$, where $T_1 q = P_{\tau_A F}(q_1 - \tau_A(Aq_1 + \Lambda^* q_3 + r\Lambda^*(\Lambda q_1 - q_2)))$, $T_2 q = P_{\tau_B G}(q_2 - \tau_B(Bq_2 - q_3 + r(q_2 - \Lambda T_1 q)))$ and $T_3 q = q_3 + r(\Lambda T_1 q - T_2 q)$ for $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3) \in Q$. Then T is nonexpansive, i.e.,

$$\|Tq - Tp\|_Q \leq \|q - p\|_Q$$

for all $q, p \in Q$.

Theorem 3 is the result of Wittmann [6]. This theorem is related to results of [1].

Theorem 3. *Let H be a Hilbert space and C be a closed convex subset of H . Let T be a nonexpansive mapping of C into itself such that the fixed point of T is nonempty. Let $\{\alpha^{(k)}\}$ be a sequence of $[0, 1]$ such that*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{(k)} = \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\alpha^{(k+1)} - \alpha^{(k)}| < \infty.$$

Let $\{x^{(k)}\}$ be an iterative sequence of C defined as follows: $x^{(0)} = x \in C$ and

$$x^{(k+1)} = \alpha^{(k)}x + (1 - \alpha^{(k)})Tx^{(k)}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\{x^{(k)}\}$ converges strongly to $P_{F(T)}x$, where $P_{F(T)}x$ is the metric projection of H onto $F(T)$.

3 Main result

Theorem 4. Let V and H be Hilbert spaces. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ be a σ_A -inverse-strongly monotone mapping and $B : H \rightarrow H$ be a σ_B -inverse-strongly monotone mapping. Let $F : V \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ and $G : H \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be proper convex lower semicontinuous functionals. Let $\Lambda : V \rightarrow H$ be a linear continuous mapping and $\Lambda^* : H \rightarrow V$ be the adjoint mapping of Λ , i.e., $\langle \Lambda^*x, v \rangle_V = \langle x, \Lambda v \rangle_H$ for all $x \in H$ and $v \in V$. In addition, we assume that the operator $\Lambda^*\Lambda$ is a canonical isomorphism, i.e., $v = \Lambda^*\Lambda v$ for all $v \in V$. Let $Q = V \times H \times H$ be the Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Q = \frac{1 - \tau_A r}{\tau_A} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_V + \frac{1}{\tau_B} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H + \frac{1}{r} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H,$$

where τ_A, τ_B and r are positive constants satisfying $\tau_A r < 1$,

$$\tau_A < \frac{2\sigma_A}{2\sigma_A r + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_B < \frac{2\sigma_B}{2\sigma_B r + 1}.$$

Let $T : Q \rightarrow Q$ be a mapping defined by $Tq = (T_1q, T_2q, T_3q)$, where

$$T_1q = P_{\tau_A F}(q_1 - \tau_A(Aq_1 + \Lambda^*q_3 + r\Lambda^*(\Lambda q_1 - q_2))),$$

$$T_2q = P_{\tau_B G}(q_2 - \tau_B(Bq_2 - q_3 + r(q_2 - \Lambda T_1q))),$$

$$T_3q = q_3 + r(\Lambda T_1q - T_2q)$$

for $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3) \in Q$. Assume that $F(T)$ is nonempty. Let $\{q^{(k)}\}$ be the sequence constructed by $q^{(0)} = q_0 \in Q$ and

$$q^{(k+1)} = \alpha^{(k)}q_0 + (1 - \alpha^{(k)})Tq^{(k)}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $\{\alpha^{(k)}\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{(k)} = \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\alpha^{(k+1)} - \alpha^{(k)}| < \infty.$$

Then this iterative sequence $\{q^{(k)}\}$ converges strongly to q^* in Q as $k \rightarrow \infty$, q^* is a fixed point of T . Moreover the first component u in $q^* = (u, y, \lambda)$ is a solution of the problem (1).

Proof. By Theorem 2, T is nonexpansive. By Theorem 3, we obtain that the sequence $\{q^{(k)}\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point $q^* = (u, y, \lambda)$ of T . By Theorem 1, we have u is a solution of the problem $\langle Au, v - u \rangle_V + \langle \Lambda^*B\Lambda u, v - u \rangle_V + G(\Lambda v) - G(\Lambda u) + F(v) - F(u) \geq 0$ for all v in V . This completes the proof. \square

4 Appendix

In this section, to sake of completeness, we show the proof of Theorems 1 and 2.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $q = (u, y, \lambda)$ be a fixed point of T . Then

$$u = T_1q, \quad y = T_2q, \quad \lambda = T_3q.$$

By the definition of T_3 , we have

$$\lambda = \lambda + r(\Lambda u - y).$$

Then $\Lambda u - y = 0$, and

$$y = \Lambda u.$$

By the definition of T_1 , we have

$$u = P_{\tau_A F}(u - \tau_A(Au + \Lambda^*\lambda + r\Lambda^*(\Lambda u - y))).$$

Then we have

$$\langle u - (u - \tau_A(Au + \Lambda^*\lambda + r\Lambda^*(\Lambda u - y))), v - u \rangle_V + \tau_A F(v) - \tau_A F(u) \geq 0$$

for all $v \in V$. Since $\Lambda u - y = 0$, we have

$$\langle Au + \Lambda^*\lambda, v - u \rangle_V + F(v) - F(u) \geq 0$$

for all $v \in V$. Hence we have

$$F(v) \geq \langle -Au - \Lambda^*\lambda, v - u \rangle_V + F(u)$$

for all $v \in V$. This implies

$$-Au - \Lambda^* \lambda \in \partial F(u).$$

By the definition of T_2 , we have

$$y = P_{\tau_B G}(y - \tau_B(By - \lambda + r(y - \Lambda u))).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle y - (y - \tau_B(By - \lambda + r(y - \Lambda u))), z - y \rangle_H \\ & + \tau_B G(z) - \tau_B G(y) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in H$. Since $y - \Lambda u = 0$, we have

$$\langle By - \lambda, z - y \rangle_H + G(z) - G(y) \geq 0$$

for all $z \in H$. Hence we have

$$G(z) \geq \langle \lambda - By, z - y \rangle_H + G(y)$$

for all $z \in H$. This implies

$$\lambda - By \in \partial G(y).$$

We also obtain that if $-Au - \Lambda^* \lambda \in \partial F(u)$, $\lambda - By \in \partial G(y)$ and $y = \Lambda u$, then $q = (u, y, \lambda)$ is a fixed point of T . Let $q = (u, y, v)$ be a fixed point of T . Then we obtain that for all $v \in V$,

$$\langle Au + \Lambda^* \lambda, v - u \rangle_V + F(v) - F(u) \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

and

$$\langle By - \lambda, \Lambda v - y \rangle_H + G(\Lambda v) - G(y) \geq 0.$$

Since $y = \Lambda u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle By - \lambda, \Lambda v - y \rangle_H + G(\Lambda v) - G(y) \\ & = \langle B\Lambda u - \lambda, \Lambda v - \Lambda u \rangle_H + G(\Lambda v) - G(\Lambda u) \\ & = \langle \Lambda^* B\Lambda u - \Lambda^* \lambda, v - u \rangle_V + G(\Lambda v) - G(\Lambda u). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\langle \Lambda^* B\Lambda u - \Lambda^* \lambda, v - u \rangle_V + G(\Lambda v) - G(\Lambda u) \geq 0 \quad (4)$$

for all $v \in V$. Adding (3) and (4), we find that u satisfies the inequality (1). \square

To prove Theorem 2, we need the following: For all $\epsilon > 0$ and $a, b \in V$, we have

$$\langle a, b \rangle_V = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|a\|_V^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|b\|_V^2 - \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \|a - b\|_V^2. \quad (5)$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Define a mapping S_A of V into V by $S_A v = (1 - \tau_{Ar})v$ for $v \in V$. Then we obtain that for all $q_1, p_1 \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S_A q_1 - S_A p_1\|_V^2 \\ & = \|(1 - \tau_{Ar})(q_1 - p_1) - \tau_A(Aq_1 - Ap_1)\|_V^2 \\ & = (1 - \tau_{Ar})^2 \|q_1 - p_1\|_V^2 \\ & \quad - 2\tau_A(1 - \tau_{Ar}) \langle Aq_1 - Ap_1, q_1 - p_1 \rangle_V \\ & \quad + \tau_A^2 \|Aq_1 - Ap_1\|_V^2 \\ & \leq (1 - \tau_{Ar})^2 \|q_1 - p_1\|_V^2 \\ & \quad - \delta_A \langle Aq_1 - Ap_1, q_1 - p_1 \rangle_V, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\delta_A = \tau_A(1 - \tau_{Ar}) \left(2 - \frac{\tau_A}{\sigma_A(1 - \tau_{Ar})} \right)$. By (2) and (5) with $\epsilon = 1 - \tau_{Ar}$, $a = S_A q_1 - S_A p_1$ and $b = T_1 q - T_1 p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_1 q - T_1 p\|_V^2 \\ & = \|P_{\tau_A F}(S_A q_1 - \tau_A \Lambda^*(q_3 - r q_2)) \\ & \quad - P_{\tau_A F}(S_A p_1 - \tau_A \Lambda^*(p_3 - r p_2))\|_V^2 \\ & \leq \langle T_1 q - T_1 p, S_A q_1 - S_A p_1 \rangle_V \\ & \quad - \tau_A \langle T_1 q - T_1 p, \Lambda^*(q_3 - p_3) - r \Lambda^*(q_2 - p_2) \rangle_V \\ & = \frac{1}{2(1 - \tau_{Ar})} \|S_A q_1 - S_A p_1\|_V^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{1 - \tau_{Ar}}{2} \|T_1 q - T_1 p\|_V^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{1 - \tau_{Ar}}{2} \|(S_A q_1 - S_A p_1) - \epsilon(T_1 q - T_1 p)\|_V^2 \\ & \quad - \tau_A \langle T_1 q - T_1 p, \Lambda^*(q_3 - p_3) - r \Lambda^*(q_2 - p_2) \rangle_V \end{aligned}$$

for all $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$, $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \in Q$. Then, by (8) and (5) with $\epsilon = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(1 - \tau_{Ar})\tau_A} \|(S_A q_1 - S_A p_1) - \epsilon(T_1 q - T_1 p)\|_V^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{1 + \tau_{Ar}}{2\tau_A} \|T_1 q - T_1 p\|_V^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2(1 - \tau_{Ar})\tau_A} \|S_A q_1 - S_A p_1\|_V^2 \\ & \quad - \langle T_1 q - T_1 p, \Lambda^*(q_3 - p_3) - r \Lambda^*(q_2 - p_2) \rangle_V \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1 - \tau_{Ar}}{2\tau_A} \|q_1 - p_1\|_V^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{\delta_A}{2} \langle Aq_1 - Ap_1, q_1 - p_1 \rangle_V \\
&\quad - \langle T_1q - T_1p, \Lambda^*(q_3 - p_3) - r\Lambda^*(q_2 - p_2) \rangle_V \\
&= \frac{1 - \tau_{Ar}}{2\tau_A} \|q_1 - p_1\|_V^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{\delta_A}{2} \langle Aq_1 - Ap_1, q_1 - p_1 \rangle_V \\
&\quad - \langle T_1q - T_1p, \Lambda^*(q_3 - p_3) \rangle_V \\
&\quad + r \langle \Lambda(T_1q - T_1p), q_2 - p_2 \rangle_H \\
&= \frac{1 - \tau_{Ar}}{2\tau_A} \|q_1 - p_1\|_V^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{\delta_A}{2} \langle Aq_1 - Ap_1, q_1 - p_1 \rangle_V \\
&\quad - \langle T_1q - T_1p, \Lambda^*(q_3 - p_3) \rangle_V \\
&\quad + \frac{r}{2} \|\Lambda(T_1q - T_1p)\|_H^2 + \frac{r}{2} \|q_2 - p_2\|_H^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{r}{2} \|\Lambda(T_1q - T_1p) - (q_2 - p_2)\|_H^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $q, p \in Q$. Therefore we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2(1 - \tau_{Ar})\tau_A} \|(S_Aq_1 - S_Ap_1) \\
&\quad - (1 - \tau_{Ar})(T_1q - T_1p)\|_V^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1 + \tau_{Ar}}{2\tau_A} \|T_1q - T_1p\|_V^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{r}{2} \|\Lambda(T_1q - T_1p) - (q_2 - p_2)\|_H^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\delta_A}{2} \langle Aq_1 - Ap_1, q_1 - p_1 \rangle_V \\
&\leq \frac{1 - \tau_{Ar}}{2\tau_A} \|q_1 - p_1\|_V^2 \\
&\quad - \langle \Lambda(T_1q - T_1p), q_3 - p_3 \rangle_H \\
&\quad + \frac{r}{2} \|\Lambda(T_1q - T_1p)\|_H^2 + \frac{r}{2} \|q_2 - p_2\|_H^2 \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $q, p \in Q$. Define a mapping S_B of H into H by $S_Bx = (1 - \tau_{Br})x - \tau_B Bx$ for $x \in H$. Then we obtain that for all $q_2, p_2 \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|S_Bq_2 - S_Bp_2\|_H^2 \\
&= \|(1 - \tau_{Br})^2 \|q_2 - p_2\|_H^2 \\
&\quad - 2\tau_B(1 - \tau_{Br}) \langle Bq_2 - Bp_2, q_2 - p_2 \rangle_H \\
&\quad + \tau_B^2 \|Bq_2 - Bp_2\|_H^2 \\
&\leq (1 - \tau_{Br})^2 \|q_2 - p_2\|_H^2 \\
&\quad - \tau_B(1 - \tau_{Br})\delta_B \langle Bq_2 - Bp_2, q_2 - p_2 \rangle_H, \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_B = 2 - \frac{\tau_B}{\sigma_B(1 - \tau_{Br})}$. By (2) and (5) with $\epsilon = 1 - \tau_{Br}$, $a = S_Bq_2 - S_Bp_2$ and $b = T_2q - T_2p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|T_2q - T_2p\|_H^2 \\
&= \|P_{\tau_B G}(S_Bq_2 + \tau_{Br}q + \tau_Bq_3) \\
&\quad - P_{\tau_B G}(S_Bp_2 + \tau_{Br}p + \tau_Bp_3)\|_H^2 \\
&= \langle T_2q - T_2p, S_Bq_2 - S_Bp_2 \rangle_H \\
&\quad + \tau_B \langle T_2q - T_2p, r\Lambda(T_1q - T_1p) + (q_3 - p_3) \rangle_H \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2(1 - \tau_{Br})} \|S_Bq_2 - S_Bp_2\|_H^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1 - \tau_{Br}}{2} \|T_2q - T_2p\|_H^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2(1 - \tau_{Br})} \|(S_Bq_2 - S_Bp_2) \\
&\quad - (1 - \tau_{Br})(T_2q - T_2p)\|_H^2 \\
&\quad + \tau_B \langle T_2q - T_2p, r\Lambda(T_1q - T_1p) + (q_3 - p_3) \rangle_H
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by (8) and (5) with $\epsilon = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2(1 - \tau_{Br})\tau_B} \|(S_Bq_2 - S_Bp_2) \\
&\quad - (1 - \tau_{Br})(T_2q - T_2p)\|_H^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1 + \tau_{Br}}{2\tau_B} \|T_2q - T_2p\|_H^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2(1 - \tau_{Br})\tau_B} \|S_Bq_2 - S_Bp_2\|_H^2 \\
&\quad + r \langle T_2q - T_2p, \Lambda(T_1q - T_1p) \rangle_H \\
&\quad + \langle T_2q - T_2p, q_3 - p_3 \rangle_H \\
&\leq \frac{1 - \tau_{Br}}{2\tau_B} \|q_2 - p_2\|_H^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{\delta_B}{2} \langle Bq_2 - Bp_2, q_2 - p_2 \rangle_H \\
&\quad + r \langle T_2q - T_2p, \Lambda(T_1q - T_1p) \rangle_H \\
&\quad + \langle T_2q - T_2p, q_3 - p_3 \rangle_H \\
&= \frac{1 - \tau_{Br}}{2\tau_B} \|q_2 - p_2\|_H^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{\delta_B}{2} \langle Bq_2 - Bp_2, q_2 - p_2 \rangle_H \\
&\quad + \frac{r}{2} \|T_2q - T_2p\|^2 + \frac{r}{2} \|\Lambda(T_1q - T_1p)\|_H^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{r}{2} \|(T_2q - T_2p) - \Lambda(T_1q - T_1p)\|_H^2 \\
&\quad + \langle T_2q - T_2p, q_3 - p_3 \rangle_H
\end{aligned}$$

for all $q, p \in Q$. Therefore we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2(1-\tau_{Br})\tau_B} \|(1-\tau_{Br})((q_2-p_2) \\
& \quad - (T_2q - T_2p)) - \tau_B(Bq_2 - Bp_2)\|_H^2 \\
& + \frac{1+\tau_{Br}}{2\tau_B} \|T_2q - T_2p\|_H^2 \\
& + \frac{r}{2} \|(T_2q - T_2p) - \Lambda(T_1q - T_1p)\|_H^2 \\
& + \frac{\delta_B}{2} \langle Bq_2 - Bp_2, q_2 - p_2 \rangle_H \\
\leq & \frac{1-\tau_{Br}}{2\tau_B} \|q_2 - p_2\|_H^2 + \langle T_2q - T_2p, q_3 - p_3 \rangle_H \\
& + \frac{r}{2} \|T_2q - T_2p\|_H^2 + \frac{r}{2} \|\Lambda(T_1q - T_1p)\|_H^2 \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $q, p \in V$. For all $q, p \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T_3q - T_3p\|_H^2 \\
& = \|q_3 - p_3\|_H^2 \\
& \quad + 2r \langle q_3 - p_3, \Lambda(T_1q - T_1p) - (T_2q - T_2p) \rangle_H \\
& \quad + r^2 \|\Lambda(T_1q - T_1p) - (T_2q - T_2p)\|_H^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2r} \|T_3q - T_3p\|_H^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2r} \|q_3 - p_3\|_H^2 \\
& \quad + \langle q_3 - p_3, \Lambda(T_1q - T_1p) - (T_2q - T_2p) \rangle_H \\
& \quad + \frac{r}{2} \|\Lambda(T_1q - T_1p) - (T_2q - T_2p)\|_H^2 \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $q, p \in Q$. By (7), (9) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Tq - Tp\|_Q^2 + \delta_A \langle Aq_1 - Ap_1, q_1 - p_1 \rangle_V \\
& \quad + \delta_B \langle Bq_2 - Bp_2, q_2 - p_2 \rangle_H \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{(1-\tau_{Ar})\tau_A} \times \\
& \quad \|(1-\tau_{Ar})(q_1 - p_1) - \tau_A(Aq_1 - Ap_1)\|_V^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{(1-\tau_{Br})\tau_B} \times \\
& \quad \|(1-\tau_{Br})((q_2 - p_2) - (T_2q - T_2p))\|_H^2 \\
\leq & \|q - p\|_Q^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $q, p \in Q$. Therefore T is nonexpansive. \square

5 Further topic

In [2], Badriev and Zadvornov consider a variational inequality problem for only one monotone mapping A . The authors show that for a strongly monotone Lipschitz continuous mapping A , an iterative sequence converges strongly to a solution. But using Theorem 3, we may obtain the strong convergence for an inverse-strongly monotone mapping A . This is a further topic.

参考文献

- [1] K. Aoyama, Y. Kimura, W. Takahashi and M. Toyoda, *Approximation of common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Banach space*, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **67** (2007), 2350–2360.
- [2] I. B. Badriev and O. A. Zadvornov, *A decomposition method for variational inequalities of the second kind with strongly inverse-monotone operators*, *Differential Equations*, **39** (2003), 936–944.
- [3] I. B. Badriev and O. A. Zadvornov, *On the convergence of the dual-type iterative method for mixed variational inequalities*, *Differential Equations*, **42** (2006), 1180–1188.
- [4] I. Ekeland and R. Temam, *Convex analysis and variational problems*, North-Holland American Elsevier, 1976.
- [5] E. G. Golshtein and N. V. Tretyakov, *Modified Lagrangians and Monotone Maps in Optimization*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1996.
- [6] R. Wittmann, *Approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, *Archive der Mathematics*, **58** (1992), 486–491.

2012年1月31日原稿受付
Received, January 31, 2012